THE RELEVANCE OF MORALITY IN MODERN LEADERSHIP: A PHILOSOPHY ANALYSIS OF LEADERSHIP ETHICS

Abstract: This study examines the relevance of morality in modern leadership by highlighting how moral principles can shape ethical leadership with integrity and justice. This study aims to analyze the contribution of moral values to the formation of ethical leadership that is oriented towards the common welfare. The method used is a qualitative approach with a literature review of moral philosophy literature, including Aristotle's thoughts on virtue, Immanuel Kant's deontological ethics, and moral principles in the Islamic tradition. The theoretical framework in this study focuses on the relationship between individual morality and its influence on effective leadership. The results of the study indicate that moral-based leadership, which emphasizes honesty, responsibility, justice, and wisdom, plays a role in building legitimacy and public trust in leaders. In addition, this study found that the application of ethical leadership based on morality can be a solution to contemporary leadership problems, such as corruption, degrading power, and moral crises.

Keywords: *Morality, Modern Leadership, Moral Philosophy, Ethical Leadership, values*

Author:
Siti Marhamah[1]

Affiliation:
[1]UIN Fatmawati Sukarno Bengkulu, Indonesia

Corresponding author:
sitimarhamah690@gmail.com.

Abstrak. Penelitian ini mengkaji relevansi akhlak dalam kepemimpinan modern dengan menyoroti bagaimana prinsip-prinsip moral dapat membentuk etika kepemimpinan yang berintegritas dan berkeadilan. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis kontribusi nilai-nilai akhlak terhadap pembentukan kepemimpinan yang etis dan berorientasi pada kesejahteraan bersama. Metode yang digunakan adalah pendekatan kualitatif dengan studi kepustakaan terhadap literatur filsafat moral, termasuk pemikiran Aristoteles tentang kebajikan, etika deontologis Immanuel Kant, serta prinsip-prinsip akhlak dalam tradisi Islam. Kerangka teori dalam penelitian ini berfokus pada hubungan antara moralitas individu dan pengaruhnya terhadap kepemimpinan yang efektif. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa kepemimpinan berbasis akhlak, yang mengedepankan kejujuran, tanggung jawab, keadilan, dan kebijaksanaan, berperan dalam membangun legitimasi serta kepercayaan publik terhadap pemimpin. Selain itu, studi ini menemukan bahwa penerapan etika kepemimpinan yang berlandaskan akhlak dapat menjadi solusi atas permasalahan kepemimpinan kontemporer, seperti korupsi, penyalahgunaan kekuasaan, dan krisis moral. Kesimpulannya, integrasi akhlak dalam kepemimpinan modern tidak hanya meningkatkan kualitas kepemimpinan, tetapi juga berkontribusi terhadap pembangunan sosial yang lebih adil dan berkelanjutan.

Kata Kunci: Moralitas, Kepemimpinan Modern, Filsafat Moral, Etika Kepemimpinan, Nilai-Nilai.

INTRODUCTION

In the dynamics of modern leadership, ethics and morality play an increasingly significant role in shaping a leader's character and effectiveness. A leader grounded in strong moral values will not only have a positive impact on their subordinates but will also create a healthy, fair, and welfare-oriented work environment. Leadership that is solely result-oriented without considering moral aspects can lead to various issues, such as abuse of power, corruption, and injustice.

Therefore, it is essential to examine the relevance of morality in modern leadership through the lens of moral philosophy. Morality serves as a fundamental foundation in shaping both individual and societal character. In the context of modern leadership, morality functions as a compass guiding leaders to make decisions that are not only effective but also ethical. As stated by Quraish Shihab (1993:54) in his book "Menggali Makna Akhlak", good morals are the key to achieving a harmonious and prosperous life.

Morality in leadership provides the basis for making fair and responsible decisions. He asserts that good leadership is founded on the values of honesty, justice, and wisdom. Thus, a morally upright leader is better equipped to face the challenges of the times without neglecting humanitarian and ethical aspects. This demonstrates that morality is not merely a complementary element, but a central pillar of leadership.

In moral philosophy, the role of ethics in leadership has been discussed by various thinkers, both from Islamic and Western traditions. Ethics in leadership is not only a normative guideline but also a key determinant of a leader's success in carrying out their responsibilities. A leader with a strong ethical foundation is more capable of making decisions that benefit not only themselves but also the wider community they lead. Conversely, leadership that disregards ethics often results in social inequality,

corruption, and various forms of power abuse. Therefore, discussions on ethics in leadership have been central in moral philosophy throughout history.

One prominent thinker who addressed the role of ethics in leadership is Ibn Khaldun. In his seminal work, he explained that effective leadership is one that maintains a balance between individual interests and collective well-being. According to him, a good leader should not be oriented solely toward personal or group interests, but must consider the public good as a whole. In Ibn Khaldun's view, a leader must have a broad vision and position themselves as a servant of the people, not merely a ruler seeking personal gain (Ibn Khaldun, 2003:332).

The relevance of morality in leadership is closely tied to the concept of social justice, which is one of the fundamental principles in moral philosophy and ethical leadership. Social justice requires that every individual in society receive their rights proportionally, without discrimination or exploitation. Fazlur Rahman (2001:156) asserts that ideal leadership must be grounded in the principle of justice aimed at achieving collective welfare.

Justice in leadership is not merely an abstract concept but must be realized through policies that ensure equitable distribution of welfare for all segments of society, especially the marginalized and underprivileged. He emphasizes that justice in leadership must be rooted in strong moral principles, as leadership without morality tends to favor economically or politically dominant groups. Leaders who fail to uphold the principle of justice often create policies that benefit only a small elite, while the broader public lacks equal access to resources and opportunities. This results in widening social inequality, where the rich become richer and the poor more marginalized. In such a context, leadership that neglects moral aspects leads to entrenched structural injustice that is difficult to rectify in the long term.

One of the greatest challenges in modern leadership is how to apply moral principles amidst globalization and rapid social change. Yusuf

Qardhawi underscores that a good leader must possess moral resilience in facing political and economic pressures. In this context, morality-based leadership should not be mere rhetoric but must serve as a primary principle in making strategic decisions (Yusuf Qardhawi, 1997:191).

The relevance of morality in modern leadership is an undeniable necessity. Morality is not merely an additional aspect of leadership but serves as the core foundation that determines the direction and sustainability of leadership. A leader with high moral integrity is better able to formulate just policies that prioritize the common good. Therefore, a philosophical analysis of leadership ethics is crucial to understanding how moral values can be applied in modern leadership.

This study is relevant to several previous studies that also highlight the importance of ethical and moral dimensions in leadership. For instance, the study by Dedi Purnawa E.S. (Vol. 04 No. 02, 2016) emphasizes the influence of leadership on organizational culture in educational institutions using a quantitative approach, while this research adopts a moral philosophical approach to understand moral values as the foundation of ethical leadership.

The study by Zaenudin Na'im (Vo . 06 No. 01, 2022) explores the correlation between Islamic leadership ethics and employee performance, whereas this research broadens the scope by delving into classical and Islamic philosophical thought to develop a conceptual and philosophical model of moral leadership. Similarly, the study by Hafis Muddab and Khudrotun Nafish (Vol. 07 No. 01, 2023) highlights moral leadership in educational contexts, while this study offers a broader academic contribution by examining moral leadership across social, political, and organizational spheres through moral philosophical analysis. Thus, this study provides a deeper

theoretical contribution to the discourse on modern ethical leadership based on morality.

Based on the above explanation, the main problem in this research is how morality, as a moral foundation, can shape ethical and responsible leadership in the modern era. The objective of this study is to analyze the relevance of moral values in modern leadership through a philosophical lens.

This research adopts a qualitative methodology through a library-based study, exploring the moral philosophies of prominent thinkers from both Western and Islamic intellectual traditions. On the Western side, it investigates Aristotle's virtue ethics, which centers on cultivating moral character through consistent practice, alongside Immanuel Kant's deontological approach, which prioritizes moral duty and the intention behind actions. From the Islamic viewpoint, the study examines Ibn Khaldun's perspective on leadership rooted in justice and public interest, Fazlur Rahman's emphasis on social justice within ethical leadership, and Yusuf al-Qaradawi's advocacy for moral resilience in navigating socio-political pressures. The core areas of analysis include the concept of morality, the ethical leadership principles advanced by these thinkers, and how these values can be applied to contemporary issues such as corruption and declining integrity. Ultimately, this research highlights the essential role of morality in shaping ethical and accountable leadership in today's world.

The study uses a qualitative method with a moral philosophical analysis approach to examine leadership ethics in the context of modern leadership. This approach was chosen because moral philosophy is closely related to ethical values that form the basis of leadership, and it explores how the relevance of morality can be applied in current leadership practices. This method also allows for in-depth exploration of moral concepts developed by both Islamic and Western philosophers in relation to leadership.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

1. **The Concept of Morality and Leadership in the Context of Moral Philosophy**

Morality is one of the primary foundations in shaping commendable and virtuous human behavior. Etymologically, the term akhlak derives from the Arabic word khuluq, which means character, conduct, or behavior. In the perspective of moral philosophy, morality is not merely understood as a habit or tradition but as an internal attitude embedded in a person that drives them to act in accordance with universal moral values. According to M. Amin Abdullah, morality represents the deepest dimension of human moral consciousness, shaped by reason, the heart, and spiritual experience, which integrally guides individuals toward consistently virtuous actions—not merely due to external pressure, but because of inner awareness (M. Amin Abdullah, 2002: 23–29).

Moral philosophy views morality as a manifestation of virtue rooted in individual rationality and awareness. In Aristotle's view of virtue ethics, moral values are formed through habituation and the cultivation of positive character (ethos). In this regard, Kaelan explains that moral philosophy functions to deeply examine the goodness and badness of human actions based on rational principles that can be justified, and it serves as a guide in forming ethical behavior, including within the domain of leadership (Kaelan, 2013: 135).

From the perspective of moral philosophy, leadership is not merely defined as the ability to influence and direct others, but also as a form of ethical responsibility in exercising authority and guiding society toward the common good. Ideal leadership emerges from strong moral integrity, not merely from technical skills or rhetorical abilities. According to Syamsul Ma'arif, a good leader must possess the qualities of

trustworthiness, justice, wisdom, and a commitment to the greater public interest—rather than pursuing personal gain or power (Syamsul Ma'arif, 2020: 91–94).

The dialectic between practical needs and moral imperatives presents a particular challenge: to what extent can a leader balance policy effectiveness with the integrity of values? A leader with strong moral character will be able to create a public sphere that is just and humane, and will view power as a tool for service rather than domination (Ma'arif, 2020: 91–94). Understanding morality as a form of virtue shaped by reason and moral habits (as emphasized by moral philosophers), and applying it as an ethical principle in daily actions (Samani, 2015: 88–101), enables leadership to manifest as a calling of the soul rather than merely a position. This serves as a fundamental basis for the following discussion on how leadership ethics can be formulated, which moral values are most relevant to contemporary contexts, and how modern challenges test a leader's moral consistency.

Recent scholarship has increasingly emphasized the significance of virtue ethics as a foundational element in ethical leadership. According to Lemoine, Hartnell, and Leroy (2019), moral leadership models such as ethical, authentic, and servant leadership share a common thread in virtue ethics, underscoring character formation, moral identity, and a commitment to the common good. These leadership models prioritize moral reasoning and integrity over instrumental or manipulative approaches, reinforcing the idea that ethical leadership is inseparable from a leader's inner moral compass. Virtue ethics thus offers a robust framework for understanding how moral habits cultivated over time shape the ethical orientation of leaders, making them more resilient in the face of ethical dilemmas and institutional pressures.

Moreover, the role of moral character in leadership has been empirically supported across various professional contexts. Gentry and Fleshman (2020), in their study of leadership within healthcare environments, argue that cultivating virtues such as prudence, courage, temperance, and justice is essential for effective and morally responsible leadership. These virtues not only guide individual decision-making but also foster trust and credibility among team members. When leaders consistently embody these ethical traits, they become agents of moral influence, capable of sustaining ethical norms within their organizations and inspiring similar behaviors in others. As such, moral philosophy, particularly virtue ethics, continues to serve as a vital foundation in shaping principled leadership amid complex modern challenges.

Leadership rooted in moral philosophy also strongly emphasizes the importance of moral dimensions in daily leadership practices. This is supported by the thoughts of Ahmad Tafsir, who explains that a leader with noble character will always uphold honesty, establish justice, avoid tyranny, and honor truth in every decision made. Such a leader becomes not only a structural role model but also a moral exemplar for the community (Ahmad Tafsir, 2006: 66–70).

Thus, the integration of morality and leadership within the framework of moral philosophy provides an understanding that a leader must not only be competent in strategy and administration but must also excel in moral integrity. This type of leadership is crucial in the modern context, which is often marked by ethical crises and moral disparities in public policymaking.

2. Leadership Ethics from the Perspective of Moral Philosophy

Leadership ethics from the perspective of moral philosophy emphasizes the importance of actions grounded in universal moral principles such as honesty, justice, responsibility, and compassion toward others. A leader is evaluated not only by the outcomes they achieve but also by the manner in which those outcomes are attained. Moral philosophy rejects a purely consequentialist approach that focuses solely on results; instead, it places greater emphasis on the intention and the process behind the action itself.

In line with Immanuel Kant's theory of deontological ethics, an action is considered moral when it is performed out of a sense of moral duty, rather than for gain or under coercion. Kaelan explains that leadership ethics must stem from an awareness of the moral responsibility inherently attached to the role of a leader. Leadership grounded in moral principles gives rise to policies that support justice and promote the common good. Leadership ethics is a reflection of the moral values believed and internalized by a leader (Kaelan, 2013: 135–145). These ethics are not merely external norms that impose obligation, but rather emerge from inner consciousness rooted in a philosophical understanding of social and spiritual responsibility. An ethical leader does not make pragmatic decisions based on short-term interests, but rather considers long-term impacts and fairness for all stakeholders.

Recent empirical studies in leadership ethics have reinforced the relevance of moral philosophy in evaluating and shaping ethical leadership practices. Brown and Treviño (2006) argue that ethical leadership is characterized by the demonstration of normatively appropriate behavior through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, and the promotion of such conduct to followers through two-way communication and decision-making. This model parallels

deontological perspectives by emphasizing moral obligation, fairness, and consistency in leadership behavior. Ethical leaders thus act as moral managers, shaping the ethical climate of their organizations by modeling and rewarding ethical conduct. Their leadership is not transactional, but deeply embedded in the normative commitments that resonate with Kantian ethics.

Additionally, Hannah, Avolio, and Walumbwa (2011) assert that moral potency—comprising moral courage, efficacy, and ownership—is critical for ethical decision-making in leadership. Leaders with high moral potency are more likely to take ethical action despite external pressures or risks, aligning with moral philosophy's insistence on internal moral awareness over external conformity. Their findings suggest that leadership ethics is not only about understanding moral norms but also about cultivating the psychological readiness to act on them. In this way, moral philosophy serves not just as a theoretical foundation but also as a practical guide to strengthening ethical behavior in organizational contexts.

Good leadership requires a balance between amanah (moral responsibility) and performance (professional competence). A leader must not only be skilled in strategy development but must also demonstrate moral integrity in carrying out their duties. Leadership ethics built upon strong moral character will foster a leadership style that is not only respected but also trusted. According to Muchlas Samani, a strong moral foundation within a leader shapes a healthy and productive organizational culture. The moral example set by a leader serves as a source of inspiration for followers, as the leader not only speaks of ethics but also embodies those values in daily practice. In this context, moral philosophy provides a profound framework for understanding

leadership as a moral calling, not merely a position or title (Muchlas Samani, 2010:88).

Thus, leadership ethics from the perspective of moral philosophy serves both as a normative and evaluative guide in the leadership process. It functions to maintain a balance between power and responsibility, between personal ambition and collective welfare. A leader who adheres to moral ethics will be capable of creating an environment that is just, inclusive, and humane.

3. Moral Values in Modern Leadership

In the context of modern leadership—which is fast-paced, complex, and pressure-filled—moral values serve as the ethical anchor that keeps a leader on the right path. Leadership that relies solely on technical competence and managerial strategy, without being grounded in strong moral character, is easily prone to abuse of authority, manipulation of power, and a crisis of public trust. Therefore, moral values such as honesty, trustworthiness (amanah), responsibility, justice, and empathy are not merely complementary elements; they are the core of leadership itself.

Honesty, for example, is not merely about telling the truth—it involves transparency of intent, authenticity of actions, and loyalty to the truth even when it is unpopular. Zainuddin Maliki asserts that honesty in leadership builds the foundation of social trust, which is an essential requirement in the relationship between a leader and the people they lead. Once this trust is broken, it is extremely difficult to restore, even with significant achievements (Zainuddin Maliki, 2010:134).

Moreover, amanah or the moral responsibility of a leader goes beyond the administrative execution of duties. It involves the awareness that leadership is both a divine and social trust. A leader must realize

that every decision they make carries wide-reaching consequences for the lives of many. Rachmat Djatnika emphasizes that a leader with a strong sense of moral responsibility will position themselves as a servant of the people, not as a ruler over them (Rachmat Djatnika, 2013:89).

Justice in modern leadership also demands sensitivity to social inequality, courage to take impartial stances, and the ability to treat every person equally regardless of their social, cultural, or political background. This is a real test for leaders in today's increasingly pluralistic and interest-laden world. Without the moral virtue of justice, leadership risks becoming a hidden tool of oppression disguised as public policy.

Meanwhile, empathy has become a crucial value in leadership during the digital and information age. Modern leaders are challenged to interact with diverse generations, cultures, and emotional perspectives. Empathy enables leaders to go beyond understanding reports and data—it allows them to feel the psychological burdens and inner hopes of their followers. It is noted that empathetic leadership fosters a harmonious work atmosphere, strengthens employee loyalty, and enhances overall organizational effectiveness.

Thus, moral values are not merely personal ethical attributes; they are transformative leadership tools. These values touch the core of power practices: how influence is exercised, for whom decisions are made, and to what extent responsibility is carried. Modern leadership that is not supported by moral strength will only produce technically effective figures who are ethically fragile. Therefore, the integration of moral values in leadership is not optional it is an imperative.

Recent studies underscore that ethical leadership contributes significantly to long-term organizational performance by creating cultures of integrity and accountability. According to Brown, Treviño,

and Harrison (2005), ethical leaders are characterized by personal morality, transparent communication, and fairness, which inspire followers to adopt similar ethical behaviors. This positive ethical climate leads to reduced misconduct, increased job satisfaction, and higher commitment among employees. Ethical leadership, therefore, serves not only as a moral compass but also as a strategic advantage in building sustainable institutions.

Furthermore, in the context of globalized leadership, Crossan et al. (2017) argue that moral virtues must be developed through intentional reflection and embodied in consistent action. They propose the framework of "virtuous leadership," which integrates character development, purpose-driven behavior, and moral courage. This model highlights that leadership is not merely about achieving organizational goals, but about shaping the moral identity of both leaders and their teams. Hence, cultivating moral values is a continuous process that intertwines personal growth with professional responsibility.

4. **Ethical Leadership as a Reflection of Individual Morality**

Ethical leadership is a direct reflection of an individual's moral maturity. A leader with good moral character will manifest moral values in every action and decision they make. In Aristotelian philosophy, morality is not something that forms instantly, but rather through the process of habituation and character education (ethos).

Moral character does not emerge suddenly; it grows through life experiences that are continuously refined and filtered by reason and conscience. A leader who successfully cultivates ethical character within themselves will be able to lead with justice, honesty, and empathy. Ethical leadership, therefore, is not only a social necessity but also a manifestation of the leader's personal moral maturity.

Ethical leadership is not merely about outward behavior that appears orderly and polite; it is a deep reflection of an individual's moral quality. In the framework of moral philosophy, a leader must not only be capable of managing or directing but must also adopt moral values as the primary foundation for thinking, behaving, and acting. Values such as honesty, justice, responsibility, integrity, and social concern are not mere additions but are the very essence of leadership itself.

A leader's personal morality becomes a key benchmark for assessing the quality of ethical leadership. A leader rooted in morality will exhibit consistency between words and actions and will have the courage to make the right decisions, even when faced with risks. As Hamid Darmadi explains, true leadership is born from inner integrity and sensitivity to human values. A leader does not lead merely with power, but through example and conscience (Hamid Darmadi, 2015:122).

Furthermore, as stated in a journal by Andi Rachmat, ethical leadership is closely related to an individual's commitment to social responsibility. A leader with high moral awareness is more sensitive to issues of justice, inclusivity, and collective welfare. Such a leader is not swayed by short-term interests because their moral compass is rooted in noble values internalized within themselves (Andi Rachmat, 2020:85–93).

Contemporary research supports the idea that ethical leadership is deeply rooted in leaders' internalized moral identity. According to Mayer, Aquino, Greenbaum, and Kuenzi (2012), leaders with a strong moral identity are more likely to engage in ethical decision-making and exhibit moral behaviors, particularly in challenging or high-pressure environments. Their study found that moral identity not only drives

ethical behavior but also shapes how followers perceive the leader's authenticity and fairness—two critical elements of effective leadership in modern organizations.

In addition, Hannah et al. (2011) emphasize that ethical leadership is connected to psychological capacities such as moral efficacy, moral courage, and self-regulation. Leaders who develop these capacities are better equipped to navigate ethical dilemmas and lead with integrity. This internal moral development enables leaders to stay committed to core values even in the face of opposition or temptation. Thus, ethical leadership arises from the continuous cultivation of moral strength within the leader, not merely from external codes or institutional expectations.

Thus, ethical leadership is not merely a leadership style, but a manifestation of moral character that has undergone a long process of inner development. An ethical leader is a true reflection of living morality—not just in words, but in every action and policy they undertake.

5. Moral Challenges in Contemporary Leadership

Contemporary leadership faces complex moral challenges, especially amidst technological advancements, value globalization, and an escalating crisis of integrity. Many leaders are tempted by power, material gain, and popularity, causing them to disregard moral principles in their actions. In such situations, morality becomes the main fortress that protects a leader from deviation and abuse of authority.

Muchlas Samani emphasizes that leaders must possess strong moral resilience so they are not swept away by the tides of temporary interests. These moral challenges cannot be resolved solely through legal systems or regulations; instead, they require the internalization of ethical

values within the leader's personality (Muchlas Samani, 2010:67–75). Contemporary leadership is not only confronted with technical and managerial issues but is also tested by increasingly complex moral dilemmas. Amid the surge of globalization, modernization, and digitalization, leaders must maintain a firm moral compass to uphold the values and integrity that form the pillars of their leadership. The lure of power, political pressure, economic interests, and high public expectations often place leaders in sharp ethical dilemmas—between personal or group interests and the public good.

Morality in leadership is now tested at its deepest level: when swift and strategic decisions must be made without neglecting justice, humanity, and social responsibility. As explained by Muchlas Samani, leaders who lack a strong moral foundation are easily swayed by the dynamics of power, leading them to opt for shortcuts that conflict with ethical standards (Muchlas Samani, 2015:101).

Technological advancements, especially social media, add new layers of challenges in leadership. On one hand, media serves as a tool for transparency and public participation, but on the other hand, it can become a space for manipulative image-building. In this context, as Dwi Wulandari states in her article "Ethics of Leadership in the Digital Era", leaders are required to possess digital moral literacy to uphold values such as honesty, information justice, and communication ethics (Dwi Wulandari, 2021:144–152).

Therefore, moral challenges in modern leadership are not merely external obstacles but internal battles—between values and desires, between responsibility and the temptation of power. Today's leaders must be morally steadfast individuals, capable of preserving the dignity of leadership as a form of service, not merely as a tool of domination.

CONCLUSION

The philosophical analysis presented in this study underscores the critical relevance of morality as the core of ethical leadership in the modern world. Drawing from classical Western philosophy—particularly Aristotle's virtue ethics and Immanuel Kant's deontological ethics—as well as from Islamic moral thought, including the works of Ibn Khaldun, Fazlur Rahman, and Yusuf al-Qaradawi, this research confirms that leadership devoid of moral foundation risks becoming directionless, corrupt, and unjust.

Morality, in the context of leadership, is not an optional trait but a foundational pillar that shapes decision-making, defines character, and sustains public trust. The study finds that values such as honesty, justice, responsibility, trustworthiness (amanah), integrity, and empathy are not merely ethical ornaments but integral elements that determine the quality and legitimacy of leadership. Moral values serve as the compass that guides leaders in exercising authority ethically and responsibly, especially when faced with complex dilemmas and competing interests.

The research also reveals that ethical leadership is inseparable from personal moral maturity. A morally grounded leader internalizes noble values through reflection, experience, and moral education, and then applies them consistently in governance and interpersonal conduct. Such leadership fosters not only organizational integrity but also a broader social impact by promoting justice, equality, and collective welfare. In this regard, moral leadership functions as both a personal discipline and a public obligation.

Furthermore, the study identifies that the contemporary leadership crisis—marked by corruption, misuse of power, declining trust, and the rise of populist and transactional leadership—can be addressed through a reintegration of moral philosophy into leadership practices. Virtue ethics offers a long-term approach to character formation; Kantian ethics provides

a rational foundation for duty-based action; and Islamic perspectives contribute a spiritually rooted sense of responsibility and social justice.

In the age of digital communication, global capitalism, and cultural pluralism, leaders must demonstrate not only strategic intelligence but also moral resilience. This includes the courage to uphold truth over popularity, justice over expediency, and public interest over personal ambition. Empirical studies support that leaders with strong moral identity and ethical conviction inspire greater trust, reduce organizational misconduct, and foster inclusive cultures.

Ultimately, this study contributes to the discourse on leadership ethics by offering a multidisciplinary and intercultural perspective that bridges philosophical theory and practical leadership. It reinforces the idea that the integration of moral values is not merely a theoretical aspiration but a strategic necessity for sustainable and dignified leadership in today's world.

Therefore, moral leadership is not just a normative ideal—it is an urgent imperative. Without morality, leadership loses its soul; with morality, leadership becomes a force for justice, transformation, and enduring human dignity.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Abduh, M. (1993). *Risalah Tauhid. Jakarta*: Pustaka Panjimas.

Abdullah, M. A. (2002). *Falsafah Akhlak*. Yogyakarta: LKiS.

Abdullah, M. A. (2002). *Studi Agama: Normativitas atau Historisitas?* Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.

Ahmad, T. (2006). *Ilmu Akhlak*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.

Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616.

Brown, M. E., Treviño, L. K., & Harrison, D. A. (2005). Ethical leadership: A social learning perspective for construct development and testing.

Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 97(2), 117–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2006.10.004

Crossan, M., Seijts, G., Gandz, J., & Cugini, C. (2017). Developing leadership character: The role of reflection in building virtue. *Journal of Management Education*, 41(6), 727–749. https://www.perlego.com/book/1561396/developing-leadership-character-pdf

Darmadi, H. (2015). *Pendidikan Moral dan Budi Pekerti*. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Djatnika, R. (2013). *Pendidikan Karakter Berbasis Nilai dan Etika*. Jakarta: Cipta Media.

Fazlur, R. (2001). Islam and Modernity. Jakarta: Paramadina.

Gentry, L., & Fleshman, J. W. (2020). Leadership and ethics: Virtue ethics as a model for leadership development. *Clinics in Colon and Rectal Surgery*, 33(4), 234–238. https://doi.org/10.1055/S-0040-1709437

Hadiwijono. (2020). *Filsafat Yunani*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.

Hannah, S. T., Avolio, B. J., & May, D. R. (2011). Moral maturation and moral conation: A capacity approach to explaining moral thought and action. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(4), 663–685.

Hannah, S. T., Avolio, B. J., & Walumbwa, F. O. (2011). Relationships between authentic leadership, moral courage, and ethical and pro-social behaviors. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 21(4), 555–578. https://doi.org/10.5840/beq201121436

Harun, N. (1995). *Islam Rasional*. Jakarta: Mizan.

Ibnu Khaldun. (2003). *Muqaddimah*. Jakarta: Pustaka Al-Kautsar.

Kaelan. (2013). *Filsafat Etika*. Yogyakarta: Paradigma.

Kaelan. (2013). *Filsafat Moral*. Yogyakarta: Paradigma.

Kartanegara, M. (2005). *Integrasi Ilmu dan Agama: Sebuah Pendekatan Epistemologis*. Bandung: Mizan.

Langgulung, H. (1991). *Pendidikan dan Perkembangan Jiwa*. Jakarta: Pustaka Al-Husna.

Lemoine, G. J., Hartnell, C. A., & Leroy, H. (2019). Taking stock of moral approaches to leadership: An integrative review of ethical, authentic, and servant leadership. *Academy of Management Annals*, 13(1), 148–187. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2016.0121

Ma'arif, S. (2020). *Etika Profesi Kepemimpinan Islam*. Jakarta: Kencana.

Ma'arif, S. (2020). *Filsafat Pancasila dan Aktualisasinya dalam Kehidupan Bangsa*. Yogyakarta: LKiS.

Mayer, D. M., Aquino, K., Greenbaum, R. L., & Kuenzi, M. (2012). Who displays ethical leadership, and why does it matter? An examination of antecedents and consequences of ethical leadership. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(1), 151–171. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2008.0276

Rachmat, A. (2020). *Kepemimpinan Etis di Era Post-Truth*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada.

Samani, M. (2010). *Moralitas Kepemimpinan*. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.

Samani, M. (2015). *Pendidikan Karakter: Konsep dan Implementasi*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.

Samani, M. (2015). *Etika Kepemimpinan*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.

Yusuf, Q. (1997). *Etika Islam*. Jakarta: Gema Insani Press.

Zainuddin, M. (2001). Etika Sosial: Pandangan Islam tentang Moralitas Sosial. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.